



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/961,149	09/24/2001	Bo Philip Bengtsson	,	5367	
75	90 08/25/2003				
Bo Bengtsson c/o P. Glaser Raverns grand 18 B, 1tr			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, TUAN DUC		
Uppsala, 753 SWEDEN	19		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2643	9	
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003	-/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9

Office Action Summary Examiner			Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner					
Tuan D. Nguyen 2643 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estensioned inter may be variable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirrely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any same plant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 July 2003. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4) Of the above claim(s) 9-11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-2 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The orath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Aknowledgment is made of		Office Action Summary			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Editoristion of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rapity be timely filed If the period or reply selected above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MCNTHS from the communication. If INO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MCNTHS from the communication. Failure to reply which the set or dended period for reply will, by take disc, cause the applicant to become ABANDONED SIX. S. £ \$ 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three montals after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any certain terms distantion. See 37 CPR 1.704(b). Status **Status** This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9.11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1.5 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.5 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.5 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1.5 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2001 is/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b disapproved by the Examiner. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX 60 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, its results communication. If the period for reply specified above, the remailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the remailing date of this communication of the period propriet specified above, the remailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the remailing date of this communication and the period propriets and the period propriets of the period of reply is specified above, the remailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any carried pattern term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 July 2003. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits it closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on 1.2 December 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgmen		The MAILING DATE of this communication app	l		
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is specified above. In the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is specified above. The mailing date of this communication is the provision of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication is the property of the Office fater from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the sec or evended period for reply will, 50 status. - Any reply received by the Office fater from the months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any cered patient. - Status - Any reply received by the Office fater from the months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any cered patient. - Any reply received by the Office fater from the months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any cered patient. - Any reply received by the Office fater from the months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any cered patient. - Any reply received by the Office fater from the mailing date of this communication. - This action is FINAL. - 2b)					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	THE II - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits i closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2001 is/are: a) 1-2 accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 1/2 is/approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 1. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 J	<u>luly 2003</u> .		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	is action is non-final.		
4)	,	closed in accordance with the practice under I			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
5)	•	.,			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2001 is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	i from consideration.		
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·				
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	•	r election requirement.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· · _	•	r		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·			to by the Evaminer	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	10)		•	•	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	11) 🗆 -			, ,	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	12) 🔲 -		•		
 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	, <u>—</u> а)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		, , , , ,	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	ion No	
		application from the International Bur	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application			·		
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	a)) The translation of the foreign language pro-	visional application has been rec	ceived.	
Attachment(s)	•		1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal		

Application/Control Number: 09/961,149

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I including claims 1-8 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the interdependence of the independent claims is such that there is in fact a common invented concept. This is not found persuasive because the different inventions group I, claims 1-8, is directed to a ribbon element for a loudspeaker and classified in class 381 subclass 431; group II, claims 9-10, is directed to a loudspeaker system with a filter circuit and classified in class 381 subclass 111; and group III, claim 11, is directed to a loudspeaker module with a different construction from group I and classified in class 381 subclass 408. Moreover, these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Art Unit: 2643

- 3. The drawings filed on 12/12/2001 are acceptable subject to correction of the informalities indicated on the attached "Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review," PTO-948. In order to avoid abandonment of this application, correction is required in reply to the Office action. The correction will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. This application is in condition for allowance except for the presence of claims 9-11 to an invention non-elected with traverse in Paper No. 8. Applicant is given TWO MONTHS from the date of this letter, whichever is longer, to cancel the noted claims or take other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144). Failure to take action during this period will be treated as authorization to cancel the noted claims by Examiner's Amendment and pass the case to issue. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) will not be permitted since this application will be passed to issue.

The prosecution of this case is closed except for consideration of the above matter.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan D. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-7168. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-3:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/961,149

Art Unit: 2643

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curt Kuntz can be reached on (703)305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TDN 8/14/03

CUPPAS KUNTZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600